

January 21, 2018

## Algebra.

### Cartesian product.

Given two sets,  $A$  and  $B$ , we can construct a third set,  $C$ , which is made of all possible ordered pairs of the elements of these sets,  $(a, b)$ , where  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . We thus have a **binary operation**, which acts on a pair of objects (sets  $A$  and  $B$ ) and returns a third object (set  $C$ ). Following Rene Descartes, who first considered such construction in the context of Cartesian coordinates of points on a plane, in mathematics such operation is called Cartesian product.

A **Cartesian product** is a mathematical operation that returns a (product) set from multiple sets. For two sets  $A$  and  $B$ , the Cartesian product  $A \times B$  is the set of all ordered pairs  $(a, b)$  where  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ ,

$$A \times B = \{(a, b): a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$$

**Example 1.** A table can be created from a single row and a single column, by taking the Cartesian product of a set of objects in a row and a set of objects in a column. In the Cartesian product row  $\times$  column, the cells of the table contain ordered pairs of the form (row object, column object).

**Example 2.** Another example is a 52 (or 36) card deck. In a 52 card deck, the standard playing card ranks  $\{A, K, Q, J, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2\}$  form a 13-element set. The card suits  $\{\spadesuit, \heartsuit, \diamondsuit, \clubsuit\}$  form a four-element set. The Cartesian product of these two sets returns a 52-element set consisting of 52 ordered pairs, which correspond to all 52 possible playing cards. Ranks  $\times$  Suits returns a set of the form  $\{(A, \spadesuit), (A, \heartsuit), (A, \diamondsuit), (A, \clubsuit), (K, \spadesuit), \dots, (3, \clubsuit), (2, \spadesuit), (2, \heartsuit), (2, \diamondsuit), (2, \clubsuit)\}$ . Suits  $\times$  Ranks returns a set of the form  $\{(\spadesuit, A), (\spadesuit, K), (\spadesuit, Q), (\spadesuit, J), (\spadesuit, 10), \dots, (\clubsuit, 6), (\clubsuit, 5), (\clubsuit, 4), (\clubsuit, 3), (\clubsuit, 2)\}$ . Are these two sets different?

The Cartesian product  $A \times B$  is **not commutative**, because the elements in the ordered pairs are reversed.

$$\{(a, b): a \in A \wedge b \in B\} = A \times B \neq B \times A = \{(b, a): a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$$

**Exercise 1.** Construct Cartesian product for sets:

- $A = \{13,14\}; B = \{1,1\}$
- $A = \{3,5,7\}; B = \{7,5,3\}$
- $A = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h\}; B = \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$
- $A = \{J, F, M, A, M, J, J, A, S, O, N, D\}; B = \{n: n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge n \leq 31\}$

**Exercise 2.** Check non-commutativity for Cartesian product of sets in Exercise 1 (construct  $B \times A$ ).

**Exercise 3.** For which particular cases is the Cartesian product commutative?

The Cartesian product is **not associative**,

$$(A \times B) \times C \neq A \times (B \times C)$$

For example, if  $A = \{1\}$ , then,

$$(A \times A) \times A = \{((1,1),1)\} \neq \{(1,(1,1))\} = A \times (A \times A)$$

**Exercise 4.** For which particular cases is the Cartesian product associative?

The Cartesian product has following property with respect to intersections,

$$(A \cap B) \times (C \cap D) = (A \times C) \cap (B \times D)$$

The above statement is not true if we replace intersection with union,

$$(A \cup B) \times (C \cup D) \neq (A \times C) \cup (B \times D)$$

**Exercise 5.** Prove the following distributivity properties of Cartesian products,

- $A \times (B \cap C) = (A \times B) \cap (A \times C)$
- $A \times (B \cup C) = (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$
- $A \times (B \setminus C) = (A \times B) \setminus (A \times C)$

## Equivalence relations and partitions.

**Definition.** A **binary relation** on a set  $A$ ,

$$x \sim y, \quad x, y \in A$$

is a collection of ordered pairs of elements of  $A$ ,  $\{(x, y)\}$ ,  $x, y \in A$ . In other words, it is a subset of the Cartesian product  $A^2 = A \times A$ .

More generally, a binary relation between two sets  $A$  and  $B$  is a subset of  $A \times B$ . The terms correspondence, dyadic relation and 2-place relation are synonyms for binary relation.

**Example 1.** A binary relation  $>$  (“is greater than”) between real numbers  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  associates to every real number all real numbers that are to the left of it on the number axis.

**Example 2.** A binary relation “is the divisor of” between the set of prime numbers  $P$  and the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  associates every prime  $p$  with every integer  $n$  that is a multiple of  $p$ , but not with integers that are not multiples of  $p$ . In this relation, the prime 3 is associated with numbers that include  $-6, 0, 6, 9$ , but not 2 or  $-8$ ; and the prime 5 is associated with numbers that include 0, 10, and 125, but not 6 or 11.

Injections, surjections, bijections between the sets are established by defining the corresponding (injective, surjective, or one-to-one) binary relations between the elements of these sets. A relation  $x \sim y$  is,

- **left-total:**  $\forall x \in X, \exists y \in Y, x \sim y$ , a relation is left-total when it is a function, or a multivalued function;
- **surjective** (right-total, or onto):  $\forall y \in Y, \exists x \in X, x \sim y$ ;
- **injective** (left-unique):  $\forall (x_1, x_2, \in X, y \in Y), ((x_1 \sim y) \wedge (x_2 \sim y) \Rightarrow (x_1 = x_2))$
- **functional** (right-unique, also called univalent, or right-definite):  
 $\forall (x \in X, y_1, y_2, \in Y), ((x \sim y_1) \wedge (x \sim y_2) \Rightarrow (y_1 = y_2))$ , such a binary relation is also called a partial function;
- **one-to-one:** injective and functional.

A binary relation  $x \sim y$  is

- **reflexive** if  $\forall x \in A$ , we have  $x \sim x$

- **symmetric** if  $\forall x, y \in A$ , we have  $(x \sim y) \Rightarrow (y \sim x)$
- **transitive** if  $\forall x, y, z \in A$ , we have  $(x \sim y) \wedge (y \sim z) \Rightarrow (x \sim z)$

**Definition.** An **equivalence relation** is a binary relation that is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

Given an equivalence relation on  $A$ , we can define, for every  $a \in A$ , its **equivalence class**  $[a]$  as the following subset of  $A$ :

$$[a] = \{x \in A, (x \sim a)\}$$

A **partition** of a set  $A$  is decomposition of it into non-intersecting subsets:

$$A = A_1 \cup A_2 \dots \cup A_n \dots$$

with  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ . It is allowed to have infinitely many subsets  $A_i$ .

**Theorem.** If  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on a set  $A$ , then it defines a partition of  $A$  into equivalence classes.

**Example.** Define the equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by congruence *mod* 3:  $a \equiv b \pmod{3}$  if  $a - b$  is a multiple of 3. This defines a partition,  $[0] = \{\dots, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, \dots\}$ ,  $[1] = \{\dots, -2, 1, 4, 7, \dots\}$ ,  $[2] = \{\dots, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\}$ .

**Exercise 1.** Present examples of binary relations that are, and that are not equivalence relations. For each of the following relations, check whether it is an equivalence relation.

- On the set of all lines in the plane: relation of being parallel
- On the set of all lines in the plane: relation of being perpendicular
- On  $\mathbb{R}$ : relation given by  $x \sim y$  if  $x + y \in \mathbb{Z}$
- On  $\mathbb{R}$ : relation given by  $x \sim y$  if  $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$
- On  $\mathbb{R}$ : relation given by  $x \sim y$  if  $x > y$
- On  $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ : relation given by  $x \sim y$  if  $xy > 0$

**Exercise 2.** Let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation on  $A$ .

- Prove that if  $a \sim b$ , then  $[a] = [b]: \forall x \in A, x \in [a] \Rightarrow x \in [b]$
- Prove that if  $a \not\sim b$ , then  $[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$ .

**Exercise 3.** Let  $f: A \xrightarrow{f} B$  be a function. Define a relation on  $A$  by  $a \sim b$  if  $f(a) = f(b)$ . Prove that it is an equivalence relation.

**Exercise 4.** For a positive integer number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define relation  $\equiv$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $a \equiv b$  if  $a - b$  is a multiple of  $n$

- Prove that it is an equivalence relation;
- Describe equivalence class  $[0]$ ;
- Prove that equivalence class of  $[a + b]$  only depends on equivalence classes of  $a, b$ , that is, if  $[a] = [a']$ ,  $[b] = [b']$ , then  $[a + b] = [a' + b']$ .

**Exercise 5.** Define a relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  by  $(x_1, x_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$  if  $x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + y_2$ . Prove that it is an equivalence relation and describe the equivalence class of  $(1, 2)$ .